Transformer Insulation Options

Advantages and Disadvantages

Туре	Advantages	Disadvantages
I. Mineral Oil	Low Transformer Cost	Requires Vault per NEC®
		Article 450-C (Indoor)
	Lower Viscosity at Low Tayon a year was a	Higher Installation Cost
	Temperatures	
	Liquid Dielectric Performance	Relatively Low Fire Point
	Low Maintenance Cost	 Not Favored by Insurance Companies
	Biodegradable/Low Toxicity	Containment with
	Fluid	Absorption Bed may be
		Required
	Preventive Maintenance (DGA)	Deluge Extinguishing
	per IEEE and IEC	System may be Required
	Load Break Operations	Longest Clearance
		Distances
	Long Service Life Expectancy	Excessive Min. Clearance
		Distance & Fire Barriers may be
	- Tunically Calf Marling Linder	Required (Outdoor)
	 Typically Self-Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Thermal 	Extensive Soil Spill Cleanup Likely
	Overstress	Linely
	Easy to Reprocess/Dispose	Not Classified as Edible Oil
	• Pour Point < -35°C	Non-Renewable Resource
l	 A Century of Application History 	Growing Corrosive Sulfur
		Concerns
)	

Bulletin B900-92005 September 2006 (Supersedes June 2001)

COOPER POWER SYSTEMS 1045 Hickory Street Pewaukee, WI 53072-3792 877-CPS-INFO Fax: (262) 691-9330B www.cooperpower.com

II. Less-Flammable Liquids

A. Natural Ester (Envirotemp® FR3® Fluid)

- Flawless Safety Record Since Introduction (1997)
- Time to Kraft Paper End-of-Life Improvement 5-8 Times
- Excellent Dielectric Properties
- Excellent Clarity
- Rapidly and Completely Biodegrades
- Field Experience to 242 kV, 200 MVA
- Low Viscosity
- Excellent Lubricity
- Non-Toxic per Standard Test Methods
- Good Compatibility
- Not Listed as Hazardous Waste
- Non-Sludging per Doble PFVO/ SFL
- Low Maintenance Cost
- Preventive Maintenance (DGA)
- Food Grade Ingredients
- Renewable Resource
- Low UL Fire Hazard Value (4-5)
- Easy to Reprocess/Dispose
- US EPA Environmental Technology Verification
- FM Approved
- UL Classification: Fire Hazard Rating
- UL Classification: Less-Flammable per NEC
- Exclusive UL Classification for use with Internal Expulsion
 Fusing when used with CL
 Fusing in Series.
- NEC & NESC Safeguard Recognition

- Higher Cost than Mineral Oil
- Liquid Containment Required Per NEC 450-23 (Indoor)
- Pour Point -21°C
- Appropriate only for Sealed or Positive Pressure Dry Nitrogen Equipped Tanks

Туре	Advantages	Disadvantages
Natural Ester (cont'd)	Listed Transformer Option Available	
	Available Long Service Life Expected	_O
	Typically Self-Healing under	
	Temporary Thermal and	
	Dielectric Stress	
	Complies with Edible Oil Act	*
	• Fully Miscible with Mineral Oil, HMWH & Most PCB Substitutes	
	Eligible for Federal Biobased	
	Purchase Program FB4P	20
	Provides Best Stability of Fluid-	
	Immersed Stationary Contacts	
	 Maintains > 300°C Fire Point up to 7% Mineral Oil Content. 	
B. Synthetic Ester	Flawless Safety Record Since	High Cost
(Envirotemp® 200 Fluid)	Introduction (1984)	riigi i cost
,	Excellent Dielectric Properties	Some Material
	*	Incompatibilities (PVCs)
	Essentially Non-Toxic	Liquid Containment Means
		Required per NEC 450-23 (Indoor)
	Excellent Load Break	Not Listed by UL or FM
	Performance	- Not Listed by GL of TWI
	Rapidly Biodegrades	Not Eligible for Edible Oil
		or Listed in Federal Biobased Purchase Program FB4P
	Lowest Viscosity of Less-	
	Flammable Fluids	
	Best Lubricity	
	Good Compatibility Not Listed Llarger law Wests	
	Not Listed Hazardous Waste Feeentially Non-Studging	
. (7)	Essentially Non-SludgingLow Maintenance Cost	
	Preventive Maintenance (DGA)	
4/7	Long Service Life Expectancy	
	Typically Self-Healing Under	
▼	Temporary Dielectric & Thermal	
*	Overstress	
	• Very Low Pour Point (-55°C)	

Туре	Advantages	Disadvantages
C. Fire-Resistant Hydrocarbons (R-Temp® Fluid – Limited Availability)	 Flawless Safety Since 1975 Introduction Excellent Load Break Performance 	 Higher Viscosity at Low Temperature Liquid Containment Means Required per NEC 450-23 (Indoor)
	Excellent Dielectric Properties	Higher Cost than Conventional Mineral Oil
	Easy to Reprocess/Dispose	Not Classified as an Edible Oil
	Biodegradable/ Low Toxicity Fluid	Extensive Soil Spill Clean Up Likely
	FM Approved/UL Classified	3% Mineral Oil Contamination Reduces Fire Point < 300°C
	Low UL Fire Hazard Value (4-5)Good Stability/Essentially Non- Sludging	◆ Pour Point -21°C
	 Low Maintenance Cost Typically Self-Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Thermal Overstress 	
	Preventive Maintenance (DGA) per IEEE and IEC	
	 Miscible with Mineral Oil, Natural & Synthetic Esters, & Most PCB Substitutes 	
D. Silicone (Dimethylsiloxane)	Good Fire Safety RecordLowest Viscosity at Low Temperatures	Non-BiodegradablePersistence Potential in Environment
	Very Low Pour Point	Produces Hazardous By-Product Particulates when Combusted (Oxides of Silicon, 80% of Liquid Weight)
	Excellent Stability (<150°C)	Higher Viscosity at Nominal Operating Temperatures
	Excellent ClarityNEC Recognition Since 1977	 Poor Lubricity Material Non-Compatible (Silicone & Standard Gaskets, Petrolatum, etc)
	NESC Safeguard Recognition Since 1993	Not Compatible with Most Load Break Operations
	Low UL Fire Hazard Value (4-5)	Silicone Contamination (ppm) Can Cause Conventional Oil Foaming Under Vacuum

Туре	Advantages	Disadvantages
Silicone (cont'd)	FM Approved	Special Concern for Paint
	• III Classified	Line Contamination
	UL Classified	Very High Cost Disposal Difficulties % Migh
		 Disposal Difficulties & High Cost
		UL Classification Doesn't
		Allow Bayonet Fuses in
		Silicone
		Containment Means
		Required Indoor
		Adjudicated Liability on
		Adverse Health Effects of
		Silicone Implants
		 Non-Self Healing Under Temporary Dielectric & Heat
		Overstress (Can Form Semi-
		Conductive Bridging)
		DGA Per IEEE C57.104 Not
		Applicable
		Not Miscible with Other
		Types of Dielectric Coolants
E. Synthetic	Excellent Dielectric Properties	High Cost
Hydrocarbons\ (Polyalphaolefins)	Good Low Temperature Vianacity	Limited OEM and End-Users
(i olyaiphaoleilila)	Viscosity	
	 Excellent Lubricity Essentially Non-Toxic	
	Biodegradable	
	Typically Self-Healing Under	
	Temporary Dielectric & Thermal	
	Overstress	
III. Dry		
A. Open Dry	Low First Cost	Subject to Contamination
	Many Manufacturers	Higher Standard Energy
,\\\		Losses
	Ease of Code Compliance	Require Periodic Cleaning
X/	No Liquid Containment Needed	Reported Fires
		Higher Noise Level
•		Lower Standard BIL Levels
		High Enclosure Temperature
N		Standard Enclosure Does Not Pass Wire Proba & Pro
		Not Pass Wire Probe & Pry Test (ANSI/IEEE C57.12.28)

Туре	Advantages	Disadvantages
Open Dry (cont'd)		 Special Outdoor Enclosure Affects Load Capacity & Increases Cost Greater Susceptibility to Harmonic Overheating
		 Lower Standard Overload Capability BIL Subject to Degradation Due to Contaminants (Dust, Lint, Etc.)
		 Larger Footprint DGA Preventive Maintenance Not Available Heat Output Stresses HVAC (Indoor)
B. Cast Resin	Better Resistance to Contamination than Open Dry-	 Non-Self Healing Insulation Long Term Reliability Not Proven
	Type • Ease of Code Compliance	Higher Standard Energy Losses
	 No Liquid Containment Needed Better Short Circuit Withstand than Open Dry 	 High Cost Difficult to Repair Coil (Cost/ Lead-Time/Limited Sources) Low Standard BIL Levels DGA Preventative Maintenance Not Available
		 Greater Susceptibility to Harmonic Overheating Reported Explosions and Fires
. 7		Heat Output Stresses HVACEpoxy Cracking Concerns
		(Thermal Cycling)Non-Recyclable Coils - Landfill Disposal
		 Larger Footprint - Heavier Requires Periodic Bus Bar Cleaning Relatively Few Manufacturers & Repair Facilities